

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/966,704 | 04 09/28/2001 | | Tetsujiro Kondo | 450100-03501 | 6412 | |
| 20999 | 7590 | 08/03/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | ENCE & HAUG | JONES III, | JONES III, CLYDE H | | |
| 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151 | | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE | | |
| | , | | | 2623 | | |

DATE MAILED: 08/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--------------------|--------------|
| 09/966,704 | KONDO ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Clyde H. Jones III | 2623 |

| | Clyde H. Jones III | 2623 | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 05 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ring replies: (1) an amendment, a lice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 06.07(f). | ng date of the final rejecti IE FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amoun hortened statutory period for reply ori than three months after the mailing d | t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t | o avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of ne appeal. Since |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet | nsideration and/or search (see NC w); | OTE below); | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | corresponding number of finally re | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | e el . el | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) attacked. | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended. | ill be entered and an o | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-24. | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affida | ivit or other evidence i | s necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app y and was not earlier presented. | eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after | entry is below or attac | hed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application | in condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s) | |
| | | | |
| \ | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The addition of the limitation "said auxiliary information indicates a current state of playback being presented to said audience" changes the scope of the claims and thus requires further consideration.

CHRISTOPHER GRANT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600